

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000055490	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b>		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/EP2005/003220	International filing date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) 26 March 2005 (26.03.2005)	Priority date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) 31 March 2004 (31.03.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant BASF Plant Science GmbH			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- |                                     |              |   |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I    | Basis of the report   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. II   | Priority  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. III  | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. IV   | Lack of unity of invention  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V    | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VI   | Certain documents cited   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VII  | Certain defects in the international application  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application   |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

		Date of issuance of this report 01 November 2006 (01.11.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		Authorized officer  <b>Ellen Moyse</b> e-mail: pt05@wipo.int
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70		

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

**PCT**  
*TRANSLATION*

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

<p>Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>0000055490</b></p>		<p>Date of mailing (day/month/year) <b>See form PCT/ISA/210</b></p>
<p>International application No. <b>PCT/EP2005/003220</b></p>		<p>FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below</p>
<p>International filing date (day/month/year) <b>26.03.2005</b></p>		<p>Priority date (day/month/year) <b>31.03.2004</b></p>
<p>International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC <b>C09D103/18, B32B9/02, B32B9/04</b></p>		
<p>Applicant <b>BASF Plant Science GmbH</b></p>		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
**PCT/EP2005/003220**

**Box No. I Basis of this opinion**

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material  
 a sequence listing  
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material  
 in written format  
 in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing  
 contained in the international application as filed.  
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/003220

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
-----------	--

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims	YES
Claims	1-12	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES
Claims	1-12	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	YES
Claims		NO

**2. Citations and explanations:**

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 02/02412 A (FRAUNHOFER-GES. ZUR FOERDERUNG DER ANGEWANDTEN FORSCHUNG E.V.) 10 January 2002, mentioned in the application.
- D2: DE 42 23 471 A1 (BATTELLE-INSTITUT E.V.; 60486 FRANKFURT, DE; EMS-CHEMIE AG, ZUERICH, C) 27 January 199 mentioned in the application.

**1) Novelty**

The present application does not satisfy the criterion in PCT Article 33(2) because the **subject matter of claims 1-12 is not novel in relation to D1** or to the prior art as defined in the regulations (PCT Rule 64.1 ~ 64.3):

D1 discloses in its claims 1-12 the subject matters of claims 1-12 of the present application with whose wording is almost completely identical. The feature "amylose content of at least 70%", which specifies the "high amylose" in greater detail, can be found in D2 (DE4223471, claim 14: "amylose content of at least 70% and of at least 80%"), which is explicitly disclosed on page 3, line 15, of D1 for the preparation and selection of the high amyloses. This anticipates claims 1-12.

**2) Inventive step**

The present application does not satisfy the criterion in PCT Article 33(3) because the subject matter of claims 1-12 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Rule 65.1, 65.2).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/EP2005/003220

Box No. V      Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Currently there exists neither distinguishable features nor proof that these features might result in an unexpected and nonobvious manner in a solution of a technical problem.

As regards D1, the substantive examiner cannot identify any problem in the prior art which might be solved in a nonobvious manner by the present application.

There does not appear to be any problem addressed by the present application.